

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/700,343	11/03/2003	Murali Sethumadhavan	RGP-0088	5279	
23413	7590 03/09/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
CANTOR COLBURN, LLP			LAM, CATHY FONG FONG		
55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	1775	<u>_</u>	
			DATE MAILED: 03/09/2005	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				(
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/700,343	SETHUMADHAV	'AN ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Cathy Lam	1775	
The MAILING DA Period for Reply	TE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence a	ddress
THE MAILING DATE OF Extensions of time may be avail after SIX (6) MONTHS from the If the period for reply specified a If NO period for reply is specifie Failure to reply within the set or	THIS COMMUNICATION. Table under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 mailing date of this communication. Tabove is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of above, the maximum statutory period we the maximum statutory period we then the mailing attempts the mailing attempts.	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely file	mely filed ys will be considered time n the mailing date of this ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)⊠ This action is FINA 3)□ Since this applicat	ion is in condition for allowar	ecember 2004. action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr fx parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4		e merits is
Disposition of Claims				
4a) Of the above of 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-19 and</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/	21-24 is/are rejected.	rom consideration.		
Application Papers				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) file Applicant may not re Replacement drawin	equest that any objection to the ong sheet(s) including the correct	r. re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob aminer. Note the attached Office	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 C	CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119			
a) All b) Some 1. Certified co 2. Certified co 3. Copies of the application is	* c) None of: pies of the priority documents pies of the priority documents the certified copies of the prior from the International Bureau	s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receiv	tion No ed in this Nationa	l Stage
Attachment(s)				
Notice of References Cited (Notice of Draftsperson's Pate	ent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ment(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate	O-152)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Art Unit: 1775

In view of the amendment filed on December 10, 2004, the pending claims are continued to be unpatentable as following:

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claim 20 which is drawn to an invention nonelected without traverse in Paper filed on December 10, 2004. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over St. Lawrence et al (US 5972811) in view of Nakacho et al (US 6528559) and El Sayed et al (US 5416143).
- St. Lawrence discloses an electrical substrate laminate comprised of a prepreg and conductive layer(s) (46,46') (Fig. 2).

The conductive layers are copper foils, laminated onto both surfaces of the prepreg (col 4 L 43-49 & col 6 L 45).

The prepreg is comprised of a copolymer of butadiene and isoprene in a ratio between 1:9 and 9:1 (col 6 L 37-39). The polybutadiene and polyisoprene resins can be a liquid resin which is a low molecular weight polymer (col 5 L 24-32).

The prepreg further comprised of a corsslinking agent, a filler, a curing agent and a flame retardant (col 5 L 16-19).

The filler can be particulates such as polytetrafluoroethylene (col 14 L 27). The filler can also be inorganic fillers such as titanium dioxide, amorphous silica and magnesia, etc. (col 7 L 17-24). The fillers are pretreated with a silane coupling agent (col 7 L 31-38 & col 8 L 48-51).

A glass woven web is reinforced into the liquid resin (col 7 L 66-67). The curing agent such as dicumyl peroxide, t-butylperbenzoate, etc. and the fire retardant additive such as a halogen containing compound, are all incorporated into the resin material (col 8 L 22-37). A free radical curable polymer such as acrylate monomer (as a crosslinking agent) can be used to cure the resin (col 6 L 46-49).

In example 2, the water absorption is 0.09 wt% (i.e. < 0.2 wt%), and in the examples (except example 3) the dielectric constants are < 4.0 (col 11 L 43-47).

St. Lawrence discloses the present invention but is silent about using magnesium hydroxide as a fire retardant in the prepreg.

Nakacho discloses a halogen free flame retardant that is incorporated into a resin composition. The resin composition is moldable and used in electronic products.

Nakacho's resin composition has a rating of V-0 in a flame retardancy test UL-94 (col 2 L 5-7).

The halogen free flame retardant can be magnesium hydroxide (col 1 L 44-45).

The resin composition can be a mixture of polyisoprene and polybutadiene, further

Application/Control Number: 10/700,343

Art Unit: 1775

comprised of a fluorine containing resin (col 8 L 34-38 & col 5 L 59-60). The flame retardant is in an amount of 0.1-50 wt% per 100 wt% of the resin (col 5 L 51-54).

Furthermore, a glass fiber can be incorporated into the resin composition (col 9 L 38).

El Sayed teaches a polyamide moulding compound comprised of a thermoplastic polyamide, a reinforcing material, inorganic fillers and a elastomer.

The reinforcing material is a glass fiber and the inorganic fillers are magnesium hydroxide particles, both incorporated into the polyamide resin.

The magnesium hydroxide particles is known to be used as a flame retardant (col 2 L 15-18). The magnesium hydroxide is in the amount of from 40-60 wt% and it is surface treated with aminosilanes (col 2 L 67-68, col 3 L 39-40).

The moulding compound is formed into a product that has desired mechanical and electrical properties and fire behaviour in the standard of UL 94 rating V-0 (col 3 L 58- col 4).

In view of the prior art teachings, one skill in the art would substitute magnesium hydroxide to be the flame retardant material because inorganic metal hydroxides do not reduce the molecular weight of the resin thus improve mechanical properties and heat resistance of the resin, it also prevents dripping (Nakacho, col 2 L 60-63 & col 9 L 19-27).

Furthermore, in view of Nakacho and El Sayed's teachings, it would have been obvious to pretreat the inorganic fillers with a coupling agent especially with a silane or

Art Unit: 1775

an aminosilane because it is conventional and improves the bonding between the fillers' surface and the resin.

Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments filed December 10, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant in the remarks traverses the art rejection.
- 3. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 1775

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cathy Lam whose telephone number is (571) 272-1538. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on (571) 272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1775

cfl

March 03, 2005